

Bob Pitt Emeritus Cudworth Professor of Urban Water Systems Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering University of Alabama Tuscaloosa, AL USA

B.S. Engineering Science, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA 1970.
MSCE, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA 1971.
Ph.D., Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 1987.

More than 45 years working in the area of urban water and wet weather flows, focusing on the effects, sources, and control of stormwater. About 100 publications, including several books.

1

Presentation Topics

- Pollutant strength calculations
- Analytical schemes to determine pollutant characteristics of stormwater particle size ranges
- Settling and scour of stormwater particulates
- Pollutant strengths by particle size
- Pollutant strengths by particle size and treatability observations
- Conclusions

Stormwater Particulate Pollutant Strengths

Robert Pitt, Shirley E. Clark, Yezhao Cai, Vijay Kumar Eppakayala, Alex Maestre, Renee Morquecho, Redahegn Sileshi, Jejal Reddy Bathi, and John Voorhees

2

4

- Pollutant strengths are the contaminant concentrations associated with the particulate matter in the stormwater.
- Particulate strengths are determined by calculating the pollutant concentration only associated with the particulates (measured as TSS or SSC, depending on how the sample was collected and analyzed) in the runoff water.
- They are calculated by the following equation, and are usually expressed as mg pollutant/kg solids: (total conc. – filterable conc.)

```
particulate solids conc.
```



5

Fugacity Modeling

- Fugacity equilibrium models (several levels available) (Mackay et al. 1992) were used for predicting the phase partitioning of selected PAHs for comparison with observed partitioning.
- Equations used in the fugacity Level 1 modeling included:

Fugacity,
$$f = \frac{M}{\sum (V_i * Z_i)}$$

Where, Z_i = fugacity capacities of air, water, sediment, SS, and fish for i =1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively

$$Z_{1} = \frac{1}{RT} \qquad Z_{2} = \frac{1}{H} \qquad Z_{3} = Z_{2} * P_{3} * \phi_{3} * \frac{K_{OC}}{1000} \qquad Z_{4} = Z_{2} * P_{4} * \phi_{4} * \frac{K_{OC}}{1000} \qquad Z_{5} = Z_{2} * P_{5} * L * \frac{K_{OW}}{1000}$$

Where, R = gas constant (8.314 J/mol K), T = absolute temperature (K), H= Henry's law constant (Pa.m3/mol), K_{OC} = Organic-water partition coefficient, K_{OW} = Octonal-water partition coefficient, P = density of phase (kg/m3), Ø= organic fraction of in the phase, L= Lipid content of fish.

7

Example Stormwater Particulate Strengths from Different Residential and Commercial Source Areas (bulk samples) Mean values from several Zinc Chromium Copper Lead studies (mg Cu/kg SS) (mg Pb/kg SS) (mg Zn/kg SS) (mg Cr/kg SS) Resid./Commer. road shoulder 35 230 120 25 **Residential streets** 39 87 350 11 Resid./Commer. pvd sidewalk 1200 32 44 430 Resid./Commer. unpvd parking 45 160 170 20 **Paved driveways** 240 650 11 89 Resid./Commer. roofs 130 980 1.900 77 Resid./Commer. pvd parking 420 47 145 630 **Residential roofs** 160 870 2,900 n/a Resid./Comer. pvd driveways 170 900 800 70 Street Dirt Residential 230 1,615 431 81 **Residential NSQD outfalls** 431 358 n/a 1,262 The coefficients of variation (COV, standard deviation/mean concentrations) ranged from about

The coefficients of variation (COV, standard deviation/mean concentrations) ranged from about 0.75 to 1.5 for these data.

6

Fugacity Modeling

- Model predications indicated that high molecular weight PAHs are predominately partitioned with sediments, while low molecular weight PAHs are predominant in the air and water phases. Most of the 13 PAHs investigated during this study were HMW PAHs and therefore more associated with particulates.
- HMW PAHs indicate pyrogenic (combustion) sources.
- · LMW PAHs indicate petrogenic (oil) sources.



PAH Associations with Stormwater Particulates (MCTT Treatability Tasks)						
РАН	% Association					
	Water	Particulate Matter				
Naphthalene	22	78				
Fluorene	3	97				
Phenanthrene	2	99				
Anthracene	8	92				
Fluoranthene	29	71				
Pyrene	19	81				
Benzo(a)anthracene	3	99				
Chrysene	1	99				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	99				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	2	98				
Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	99				
Benzo(a) pyrene	1	99				

The fugacity modeling generally under-predicted the particulate bound fractions, but was very useful in identifying significant factors affecting the partitioning.

9





10

Filterable forms of the metals determine their ability to be removed using ion exchange or sorption methods (higher valence ionic forms easiest to remove, large organic-metal complexes are difficult to remove)

	Filterable metal	Filterable metal
	percentage in	percentage bound in
	ionic forms	organic complexes
Zinc	15	85
20		00
Copper	70	30
Cadmium	10	90
Lead	12	88
		12

Λ



Specific Gravity and Volatile Solids of Sediment Collected from Stormwater Treatment Device					
Sieve size range (um)	Average Specific Gravity (g/cc)	Average Volatile Solids (%)			
Sticks	0.84	81.2			
>2800	0.66	70.9			
1400 - 2800	1.15	57.8			
710-1400	1.43	42.7			
355-710	2.56	26.1			
180-355	2.76	19.4			
75-180	2.97	20.6			
45-75	3.30	25.7			
<45 (Pan)	3.46	26.0			

Specific gravity decreases as the volatile solids content increases; larger particle sizes have lower specific gravity and greater volatile solids as they contain larger amounts of light-weight organic debris for these industrial area stormwater sediment samples. Their settling rates are still large due to their large sizes.15









associated with the 10 to 300 μ m particle size range in these industrial area samples. Necessary to remove particulates down to about 10 micrometers to remove most of the particulate-bound copper.













Residential and commercial area example: Average percent reduction n pollutant discharges after controlling down to indicated particle size:								
	250 µm	45 µm	10 µm	2 µm	0.45 µm			
Suspended Solids	22	71	95	94	100			
Turbidity	23	41	72	77	86			
COD	0	23	36	37	40			
Total Phosphorus	12	32	48	51	52			
Zinc	2	15	23	30	31			
Copper	4	14	34	30	36			
Cadmium	0	8	0.1	0.1	7			
Lead	3	21	23	23	24			

For these samples, the control of filterable pollutants (using chemical precipitation, ion exchange or sorption, for example) is also necessary for high levels of control. Control down to about 35 μ m (removal of all particulates larger than this size) can result in about 80% TSS reductions (a common goal), but that would only result in about <25 to 50% control of total forms of other stormwater pollutants (probably lower than desired). 25

25



26

Conclusions

- Knowing the distribution of pollutants associated with different sized stormwater particles allows more accurate determinations of their sources, transport, and control.
- Urban stormwater quality models can use this information when routing stormwater particulatebound pollutants from their source areas and then through the drainage system and stormwater controls.
- The discharged particle size distributions and associated pollutants can then be used in receiving water models to calculate their fates and effects.